

May 24, 1973

this. The thing as important as this should have a public hearing and we do--we're doing everything on the floor--we're making new bills that can't get through a public hearing. Now we're, I, I think we're wrecking this whole thing. I think that if Senator Cavanaugh wants this, he can introduce a bill next session, put it up there where everybody can see and have a public hearing.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well let me say to Senator Syas. He is a member of this body officially and he's the eldest member in service in this body, and from the standpoint of service and understanding I presume he has no peer. Now he realizes we're operating within the rules, is that so?

SENATOR SYAS: (inaudible)

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well, we're operating within the rules, is that so? Obviously it is--

SENATOR SYAS: (mike off)--me a question, so I should have the privilege of answering. If we, we, we have rules that we operate under but if we don't like the rule for that certain particular moment, we suspend it anyhow so I would say more or less, we can always get around the rules so in a practical aspect we probably don't have any rules.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Mike off.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR SYAS: Speaking--that's what I said.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter, has the floor.

SENATOR CARPENTER: All I'm saying, that the Senator is like other Senators in like position, he's not by himself. You people are opposed to what we're doing--why don't you change the rules and quit complaining about we who operate within the rules, legally do what we attempt to do. Now you people have the same opportunity, by changing the rules and put us in a tight bind. That's what you want and that's the way if the body wants, 25 members, you ought to have it. Now, I rise to support Senator Cavanaugh's motion and I'll tell you why. Number 1, if you pass his motion, I think you'll insure that the Governor of the State of Nebraska will not veto this bill in its other form. Now I'm a little bit, I'm not concerned, I'm a little bit, I'm learning many things that I didn't realize before. Now I had a motion, for example, to set the date of making the mill levy on October the 15th which those people who represent the front office, as they have a right and should do, objected to, for the reason I presume, joined by others, that you didn't want to set the rates prior to the election and I can understand that for whatever the reason might be. Now we got this thing in reverse in which the administration is now advocating, I think that's right, that we adopt Senator Cavanaugh's amendment because he's going to see, as long as he don't have the responsibility that we meet before the election date. Now there's no problem, for example, of getting us back here because conditions will warrant that we come back and he will see that we do get back and that would be true of not only him but any other Governor. Now I think it's an excellent amendment and I think we who have the prime